



Andreev molecule in parallel InAs nanowires

 Olivér Kürtössy,¹ Zoltán Scherübl,^{1,2} Gergő Fülöp,¹ István Endre Lukács,³ Thomas Kanne,⁴ Jesper Nygård,⁴ Péter Makk,^{1,*} and Szabolcs Csonka^{1,†}
¹Department of Physics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics and Nanoelectronics 'Momentum' Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budafoki út 8, 1111 Budapest, Hungary
²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, Grenoble INP, IRIG, PHELIQS, 38000 Grenoble, France
³Center for Energy Research, Institute of Technical Physics and Material Science, Konkoly-Thege Miklós út 29-33., H-1121, Budapest, Hungary
⁴Center for Quantum Devices, Niels Bohr Institute,

University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark (Dated: March 30, 2021)



Outline

- Device architecture
- Non-interacting picture
- Interdot Coulomb repulsion
- SC coupling
- Conclusions



Device Architecture



Andreev Stuff



Andreev Reflection

Yu–Shiba–Rusinov states (Also known as Shiba states.) Subgap excitation bound to a magnetic impurity in a superconductor. The bound excitation is formed because the coupling to the impurity reduces the minimal energy for exciting quasiparticles. The magnetic exchange mechanism that creates these excitations is akin to the Kondo effect in metals.





CAR

UB

SC

tB

Standard DQD (artificial atom)

Andreev Molecule



YRS state: $U > \Delta$ ABS: $\Delta > U$

Prada et al., Nature Reviews Physics 2, 575-594 (2020)

-A2

Requirements

- QDs must be strongly coupled to the SC
- Distance must be minimized between the QDs
- Tunneling between QDs must be prevented (avoid direct coupling)
- Bonus: individual level tunings of the QDs very helpful

→ Utilize double wires connected through epitaxial aluminum!!

(maybe then one day someone makes a Majorana chain)









QD-SC States

- $\mathbf{SC} = \mathbf{CAR} \mathbf{CAR}$
- With Coulomb repulsion > SC gap, relevant particle numbers in QD and SC restricted to 0 or 1
 - Odd: doublet
 - Even: singlet
- Finite tunnel coupling between QD and SC hybridize states, allowing transitions between them
 - Leads to "eye-shaped" excitations visible in bias spectroscopy as function of $V_{T,B}$







Jellinggaard et al., PRB 94, 064520 (2016)



Non-Interacting YSR States

- Negligible interdot capacitance, finite cross-capacitance between top (bottom) gate and bottom (top) QD
- "eye-shaped" excitation (green) + constant-energy excitation (red)
- Local signal, non-local signal (slicing parallel to V_T resonances)
- Conductance of $\underline{YSR}_T > \underline{YSR}_B \rightarrow t_T > t_B$









Interdot Coulomb Repulsion

- Parallel slices yield charge-state-dependent YSR state
- (I)
 - Small $V_B \rightarrow$ off resonance (\diamond doublet state)
 - o on resonance, gating top QD, lowering energy of *YSR_T*
 - going through another resonance of bottom QD bringing YSR_T to singlet state
- Second device doesn't fit the simple interdot model
 - Anticrossings (white arrows) suggest hybridization
 - Blue cut doesn't take "eye-shaped" curve
 - Non-local signal follows shape of local one



Molecular State

Argument:

- i. "eye-shaped" YSR_T completely distorted
- ii. Expected horizontal non-local signal not flat in doublet region (blue circle)
- iii. Despite lack of well-pronounced anti-crossings, extra dispersive lines (green triangle) appear between local and non-local signals
- iv. Measured spectrum is asymmetric w.r.t. bias
- v. Unusual evolution robust along any cuts near charge degeneracies

Author's conclusion: YSR states in the QDs interact with each other via the SC electrode, forming an Andreev Molecule





(normal state, 250 mT)

SC Coupling Simulations



Simulations in "good agreement" with measurements



Device A (no hybridization)





Conclusion

- Exciting new platform for complex interactions
- Evidence for Andreev molecular state show in one of the devices
- Not 100% (for me) convincing that it is what they claim, but it's definitely interesting

Thanks for listening!!!

