

Spin-valley coupling anisotropy and noise in CMOS quantum dots

Cameron Spence,¹,^{*} Bruna Cardoso Paz,¹ Bernhard Klemt,¹ Emmanuel Chanrion,¹ David J. Niegemann,¹ Baptiste Jadot,¹ Vivien Thiney,¹ Benoit Bertrand,² Heimanu Niebojewski,² Pierre-André Mortemousque,² Xavier Jehl,³ Romain Maurand,³ Silvano De Franceschi,³ Maud Vinet,² Franck Balestro,¹ Christopher Bäuerle,¹ Yann-Michel Niquet,³ Tristan Meunier,¹ and Matias Urdampilleta¹,[†] ¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, Institut Néel, 38402 Grenoble, France ² CEA, LETI, Minatec Campus, F-38054 Grenoble, France ³ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, IRIG, 38000 Grenoble, France (Dated: September 29, 2021)

Journal Club, 07.10.2021

Outline

- Spin characterization in CMOS nanowire devices
- Determination of valley splitting via T₁ measurement
- Anisotropy of spin-valley mixing
- Investigation of charge noise (on valley-splitting)



Device

- Electrons in CMOS nanowire (width 90 nm, thickness 15 nm), fabricated on 300mm foundry-compatible wafer
- Pair of split front gates (50 nm length, separated by 50 nm)
- Electron reservoirs formed by...
 - Device 1: Ion implantation
 - Device 2: In situ growth of degenerate n-doped Si
- 6 nm SiO₂, 5 nm TiN between channel and gates



- Metallic top gate (white dashed line) 400 nm above channel, biased to +2V
- Polarized silicon bulk below buried oxide used as back gate at +5V

Sketch of similar type of device:





From [1]

Readout of spin state

• Energy-selective readout scheme







- Binned histogram of maximum I_{SD} To determine ideal threshold current I_{thr} , calculation as in Ref. [2]:





Avg. readout fidelity above 92%, and 86% visibility

[2] A. Morello et al., Nature (2010)

T₁ measurements & valley splitting

- Load electron with random spin orientation, then probe spin up population after given waiting time
- Hotspot in relaxation rate where E_{VS} matches E_{Z}
- Fit to model from Ref. [3]:

 $T_1^{-1} = \Gamma_{Ph,SV} + \Gamma_{JN,SO} + \Gamma_{Ph,SO}$

 $\Gamma_{Ph,SV}$: Relaxation rate due to spin-valley mixing & coupling to phonons $\Gamma_{JN,SO}$: Relaxation rate due to SO-coupling via Johnson-Nyquist (thermal) noise $\Gamma_{Ph,SO}$: Relaxation rate due to SO-coupling via phonons

• Phonon-mediated mechanism dominates at high fields ($\Gamma_{JN,SV}$ neglected)





Similar rates outside hotspots indicate similar overall structure of quantum dots in the 2 devices

Anisotropy of spin-valley mixing

- SV-mixing expected to vanish in presence of >1 mirror planes
- Presence of hotspot indicates lower symmetry
- It is expected that spin-valley mixing vanishes for B||x, and remaining projection leads to

$|\langle v_1 \uparrow | H_{\text{SOC}} | v_2 \downarrow \rangle|^2 \propto \sin^2 \theta$

- XY-plane: NW axis not perfectly aligned with coil axes
- XZ-plane: different due to 2nd order anisotropy of gate overlap



Effect of charge noise on spin-valley mixing

- Valley splitting arises from strong confinement against top interface -> sensitive to electric fields; assume linear dependence for small noise amplitude
- Sit next to hotspot and record time evolution of T₁
- PSD follows 1/f dependence: $23\mu eV^2/Hz$ extrapolated at 1 Hz, corresponding to fluctuation of spin precession ~0.6 GHz/\sqrt{Hz}
 - faster than hyperfine decoherence rate in nat. Si
 - > On the order of dec. rate in charge and valley qubits



Summary

- Fast & reproducible spin characterization in CMOS nanowire devices
- Similar SOI-induced relaxation rates in both devices (outside of hotspots)
- Anisotropy measurement of spin-valley coupling via relaxation, strong symmetry plane **L** NW axis
- Strong low-frequency fluctuations could be detrimental for operation as spin-valley or valley qubit