Stretchable Persistent Spin Helices in GaAs Quantum Wells
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The Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit (SO) interactions in 2D electron gases act as effective magnetic fields with momentum-dependent directions, which cause spin decay as the spins undergo arbitrary precessions about these randomly oriented SO fields due to momentum scattering. Theoretically and experimentally, it has been established that by fine-tuning the Rashba $\alpha$ and renormalized Dresselhaus $\beta$ couplings to equal fixed strengths $\alpha = \beta$, the total SO field becomes unidirectional, thus rendering the electron spins immune to decay due to momentum scattering. A robust persistent spin helix (PSH), i.e., a helical spin-density wave excitation with constant pitch $P = 2\pi/Q$, $Q = 4mR/\hbar^2$, has already been experimentally realized at this singular point $\alpha = \beta$, enhancing the spin lifetime by up to 2 orders of magnitude. Here, we employ the suppression of weak antilocalization as a sensitive detector for matched SO fields together with independent electrical control over the SO couplings via top gate voltage $V_T$ and back gate voltage $V_B$ to extract all SO couplings when combined with detailed numerical simulations. We demonstrate for the first time the gate control of the renormalized $\beta$ and the continuous locking of the SO fields at $\alpha = \beta$; i.e., we are able to vary both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ controllably and continuously with $V_T$ and $V_B$, while keeping them locked at equal strengths. This makes possible a new concept: “stretchable PSHs,” i.e., helical spin patterns with continuously variable pitches $P$ over a wide parameter range. Stretching the PSH, i.e., gate controlling $P$ while staying locked in the PSH regime, provides protection from spin decay at the symmetry point $\alpha = \beta$, thus offering an important advantage over other methods. This protection is limited mainly by the cubic Dresselhaus term, which breaks the unidirectionality of the total SO field and causes spin decay at higher electron densities. We quantify the cubic term, and find it to be sufficiently weak so that the extracted spin-diffusion lengths and decay times show a significant enhancement near $\alpha = \beta$. Since within the continuous-locking regime quantum transport is diffusive (2D) for charge while ballistic (1D) for spin and thus amenable to coherent spin control, stretchable PSHs could provide the platform for the much heralded long-distance communication $\sim 8–25\ \mu m$ between solid-state spin qubits, where the spin diffusion length for $\alpha \neq \beta$ is an order of magnitude smaller.
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The inextricable coupling between the electron spatial and spin degrees of freedom—the spin-orbit (SO) interaction—underlies many fundamental phenomena such as the spin Hall effects—quantum and anomalous [1]—and plays a crucial role in newly discovered quantum materials hosting...
Majorana [2] and Weyl fermions [3]. In nanostructures, the SO coupling strength can be varied via gate electrodes [4,5]. As recently demonstrated [6], this enables controlled spin modulation [7] of charge currents in nonmagnetic (quasiballistic) spin transistors.

The SO coupling in a GaAs quantum well has two dominant contributions: the Rashba [8] and the Dresselhaus [9] effects, arising from the breaking of the structural and crystal inversion symmetries, respectively. When the Rashba $\alpha$ and Dresselhaus $\beta$ SO couplings match at $\alpha = \beta$ [10,11], the direction of the combined Rashba-Dresselhaus field becomes momentum independent, thus suppressing D’yakonov-Perel spin-flip processes, provided that the cubic Dresselhaus term be small. The significantly enhanced spin lifetime at $\alpha = \beta$ enables nonballistic spin transistors and persistent spin helices (PSHs) [10,11]. However, despite substantial efforts, so far this symmetry point has been achieved only at isolated points with finely tuned system parameters [12–14], which is too difficult to be reliably attained on demand as required for a useful technology.

**Stretchable persistent spin helices.**—Here, we overcome this outstanding obstacle by (i) using a technique that allows independent control of the SO couplings via a top gate voltage $V_T$ and a back gate voltage $V_B$ while (ii) simultaneously measuring the suppression of weak antilocalization (WAL) in an external magnetic field as a sensitive probe for matched SO couplings. While gate tuning of the renormalized Dresselhaus coefficient $\beta$ was already theoretically described in 1994 [15], we demonstrate this for the first time here in an experiment, and employ this tunability to show robust continuous locking of the Rashba and persistent spin helices (PSHs) at $\alpha(V_T, V_B) = \beta(V_T, V_B)$ over a wide range of densities $n$, i.e., a “symmetry line” (not a point) in the $(V_T, V_B)$ plane. This allows us to introduce the concept of the “stretchable persistent spin helix,” see Fig. 1, with spin density $s_{x_1} \sim \sin(Q_{x_1}), s_{x_2} = 0$, and $s_x \sim \cos(Q_{x_1})$ and variable pitch $P = 2\pi/Q$. $Q = 4ma^2/h^2$. The stretchable PSH makes possible gate control of the spin precession over long distances due to strong protection from spin decay by up to 2 orders of magnitude enhanced spin lifetimes at the symmetry point $\alpha = \beta$—without requiring in-plane electric fields to induce drift [16], and without relying on micron-width channels to suppress decay [17].

**Long-distance spin communication.**—Within the range of the continuously matched-locked SO couplings $\alpha = \beta$, quantum transport in the well is diffusive for charge (2D) while essentially ballistic (1D) for spins [see Supplemental Material (SM), Sec. V [18]]. A stretchable PSH could thus be used to coherently couple, e.g., spin qubits over unprecedented long distances. Figure 1 illustrates how spin information can be conveyed between spins via a stretchable PSH. These stretchy waves can be excited upon injection of spin polarization; see, e.g., Refs. [12,13]. Other spin communication modes can be envisaged with this setup. The distance is limited mainly by the deviation from $\alpha = \beta$ and by the cubic Dresselhaus term, which is small in this range, as we quantify later on, and leads to spin decay with spin-diffusion lengths $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \sim 8–25 \mu$m over which the spin dephases by 1 rad. Note that this type of spin manipulation and spin transfer is not possible for a helix with $\alpha \neq \beta$, since $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ quickly drops below the helix pitch as the SO couplings are deviating from the symmetry point.

The full electrical control of the SO couplings demonstrated in our 9.3-nm-wide quantum well can tune from $\alpha = \beta = 5$ meV Å to 4 meV Å, thus enabling stretchable PSHs with pitches $P$ stretching from 3.5 to 4.4 μm; see Fig. 1. Within the shortest spin-diffusion length $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \sim 8 \mu$m for our 9.3-nm well, controlled spin rotations by an angle $\theta = Q_{x_1} = 2\pi x_1/P$ can be performed under spin protection on any spin sitting at a position $x$ along the stretchable PSH by varying $P$ in the range above. For example, a spin at $x \sim 4.8 \mu$m can be rotated by $\Delta \theta \sim \pi/2$ as $P$ varies in the range above; see gray box shading in Fig. 1. Thus, stretchable helices could provide a platform for long-distance spin communication.

**Additional results.**—WAL is also used to identify other regimes such as the Dresselhaus regime $\alpha = 0$ in a more symmetrically doped sample. Combined with numerical simulations, we extract the SO couplings $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the bulk Dresselhaus parameter $\gamma$, the spin-diffusion lengths, and spin-relaxation times over a wide range of system parameters. We also quantify the detrimental effects of the third harmonic of the cubic Dresselhaus term, which mainly limits spin protection. Interestingly, our spin-diffusion lengths and spin-relaxation times are significantly enhanced within the locked $\alpha = \beta$ range, thus attesting that our proposed setup offers a promising route for spin protection and manipulation.

In what follows, we first explain tuning of the Rashba coupling, then the essential density dependence of the Dresselhaus coupling $\beta$ that enables the continuous locking of the SO fields, how it also leads to spin decay at higher densities, followed by the relevant weak-localization–weak-antilocalization (WL-WAL) detection scheme, measurements, and simulations. A full account of our approach, including additional data and details of the model and simulations, is presented in the Appendix and the SM [18].

**Controlling the Rashba coupling $\alpha$.**—The Rashba coefficient [8] $\alpha$ can be tuned with the wafer and doping profile [12] as well as in situ using gate voltages [4,5] at constant density $n$ and thus independent of the Dresselhaus term; see below. A change of top gate voltage $V_T$ can be compensated by an appropriate, opposing change of back gate voltage $V_B$ [see Fig. 2(a)] to keep $n$ fixed [19,20] while changing the gate-induced electric field $\delta E_z$ in the quantum well, where $z_{\perp 2D}$ plane. Another Rashba term due to
The Rashba $\alpha$ term. An additional term with the same form—the interface Dresselhaus term [24]—could also play a role; see SM [18].

To a very good approximation, the coefficient $\beta_3 = \gamma k_F^2/4$, where the Fermi vector $k_F = \sqrt{2\pi n}$ and $n$ is the carrier density of the 2D gas. This neglects the tiny angular anisotropy in the Fermi wave vector due to the competition between the Rashba and Dresselhaus effects (especially in GaAs wells). Note that by approximating $\beta_3 = \gamma n/2$, both the first-harmonic and the third-harmonic parts of the cubic-in-$k$ Dresselhaus term actually become linear in $k$ (see SM for details [18]) and, more importantly, become density dependent. We can now group the linear-in-$k$ Dresselhaus term $\beta_1$ together with the first-harmonic contribution $\beta_3$ into a single renormalized Dresselhaus term by defining $\beta = \beta_1 - \beta_3$. It is this density-dependent renormalized coefficient $\beta$ that can be tuned with a gate voltage to match the Rashba $\alpha$ coupling continuously. This matching leads to a $k$-independent spinor (or, equivalently, to a $k$-independent effective SO field), whose direction is immune to momentum scattering. In this way we achieve independent, continuous control of the Rashba and Dresselhaus terms by using top gate and back gate voltages. This is an unprecedented tunability of the SO terms within a single sample.

Spin decay at higher densities.—The strength of the third-harmonic contribution of the Dresselhaus term is also described by the coefficient $\beta_3$. This term, however, is detrimental to spin protection as it breaks the angular symmetry of the other linear SO terms and makes the spinor $k$ dependent and susceptible to in-plane momentum scattering, even for matched couplings $\alpha = \beta$. As we show, the detrimental effect of the third-harmonic contribution does not prevent our attaining the continuous locking over a relevant wide range of electron densities.

Gate-tunable range of the Dresselhaus coupling $\beta$.—For the narrow quantum wells we use here, $\beta_1$ is essentially gate independent since the wave function spreads over the full width of the well. This also implies $(k_F^2) \ll (\pi/h)^2$ (the infinite well limit), see Fig. 3(d), due to wave function penetration into the finite barriers. Thus, a change of density by a factor of $\sim 2.5$ changes $\beta_3/\beta_1 = \pi n/(2k_F^2)$ by the same factor, resulting in a gate-tunable range of 0.08 $\lesssim \beta_3/\beta_1$ $\lesssim 0.2$. In addition, quantum wells of width $W = 8, 9, 3, 11, 13$ nm were used [12,25], resulting in a change of $\beta_1$ by roughly a factor of 2.

Detection scheme for matched SO couplings.—WAL is a well-established signature of SO coupling in magnetoresistance $\sigma(B_Z)$ [15,23,26–29] exhibiting a local maximum at zero field. In the $a = \pm \beta$ regime, the resulting internal SO field is uniaxial, and spin rotations commute and are undone along time-reversal loops. Therefore, WAL is suppressed and the effectively spinless situation displaying weak localization [i.e., $\sigma(B_Z)$ exhibiting a local minimum at $B_Z = 0$] is restored [10,11,14,23]. Away from the donor electric fields [21,22] is negligible in our structures; see SM [18].

Linear and cubic Dresselhaus terms in 2D.—Because of the well confinement along the $z$ direction (growth), the cubic-in-momentum bulk (3D) Dresselhaus SO interaction gives rise to, after the projection into the lowest quantum well subband eigenstates, distinct terms that are linear and cubic in $k$, the 2D electron wave vector. The linear-in-$k$ term has a coefficient $\beta_1 = \gamma (k_F^2)$ and turns out practically independent of density in the parameter range of interest here. The cubic-in-$k$ term, on the other hand, is density dependent and has yet two components with distinct angular symmetries: (i) the first-harmonic contribution proportional to $\sin \phi$ and $\cos \phi$, and (ii) the third-harmonic contribution proportional to $\sin 3\phi$ and $\cos 3\phi$; here, $\phi$ is the polar angle in 2D between $k$ and the [100] direction (see SM [18]). Interestingly, the first-harmonic contribution with coefficient $\beta_1$ has the same angular symmetry as both the linear-in-$k$ Dresselhaus $\beta_1$ term (see Refs. [15,23]) and

FIG. 2. Weak localization (WL) as an $a = \beta$ detector, gate control of Rashba $\alpha$ at constant density. (a) Measured charge density $n$ (color) versus top gate voltage $V_T$ and back gate voltage $V_B$ (9.3-nm well). Contours of constant density $(3.5–7.5) \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ are shown. Inset: Optical micrograph of typical Hall bar, with contacts (yellow), gate (center), and mesa (black lines). (b) Normalized longitudinal conductivity $\Delta \sigma/\sigma_0 = \langle \sigma(B_Z) - \sigma(0) \rangle/\sigma(0)$ versus $B_Z \perp 2D$ plane. Curves for gate configurations $1–7$ along constant $n = 4.5 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ are shown (offset vertically), also labeled in (a) and (c). (c) Simulated Rashba $\alpha$ and Dresselhaus $\beta$ coefficients (see text) against gate-induced field change $\delta E_Z$, shown for constant $n = 4.5 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$. The $\delta E_Z$ axis—decreasing from left to right—corresponds exactly to the $V_B$ abscissa of (a) for a covarying $V_T$, such that $n = 4.5 \times 10^{11} \text{cm}^{-2}$ constant. Sketches of the well potential at 1, 4, and 6 illustrate the change of $\alpha$ with $\delta E_Z$. Note that $\alpha(\delta E_Z = 0) \neq 0$ since the external $E$ field (see SM [18]) is not zero at $\delta E_Z = 0$. 
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matched regime, the SO field is not uniaxial, spin rotations do not commute, and trajectories in time-reversal loops interfere destructively upon averaging [26] due to the SO phases picked up along the loops, thus leading to WAL. Hence, this suppression is a sensitive detector for $\alpha = \pm \beta$. At high $\beta_3$, this detection scheme becomes approximate, giving $\alpha = \pm \beta$ [14]. We note that the WL dip—often used to determine phase coherence—sensitively depends on the SO coupling [e.g., curves 3–6 in Fig. 2(b)], even before WAL appears. Negligence of SO coupling could thus lead to spurious or saturating coherence times. At higher temperatures, when quantum coherence is lost, this detection scheme becomes inoperable, while it is expected that the mechanism for tuning both Rashba and effective Dresselhaus coefficients continues to function with only small corrections [24,30] even up to room temperature. Also, note that Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations do not show any spin-orbit splitting here (see SM [18]) given the strength of SO coupling in GaAs, making it clear that the quantum corrections in WAL and their suppression at the symmetry point present a very sensitive detector for SO coupling.

Continuous locking $\alpha = \beta$.—We proceed to demonstrate gate locking of the SO couplings $\alpha$, $\beta$. Figure 2(b) displays $\sigma(B_z)$ of the 9.3-nm well for top gate and back gate configurations labeled 1–7, all lying on a contour of constant density; see Fig. 2(a). Along this contour, $\beta$ is held fixed since the density is constant ($\beta_1$ is essentially gate independent), while $\alpha$ is changing as the gate voltages are modifying the electric field $\delta E_z$ perpendicular to the quantum well. Across these gate configurations, the conductance shows a transition from WAL (configuration 1 and 2) to WL (4 and 5) back to WAL (7). Selecting the most pronounced WL curve allows us to determine the symmetry point $\alpha = \beta$. This scheme is repeated for a number of densities, varying $n$ by a factor of 2, yielding the symmetry point $\alpha = \beta$ for each density $n$ [see Fig. 3(a), blue markers], thus defining a symmetry line in the $(V_T, V_B)$ plane. Along this line, $\beta$ is changing with density, as previously described, and $\alpha$ follows $\beta$, remaining “continuously” locked at $\alpha = \beta$. As mentioned earlier, this is a very interesting finding, as it should allow the creation of persistent spin helices with gate-controllable pitches, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

Simulations and fitting of $\gamma$.—Self-consistent calculations combined with the transport data can deliver all SO parameters. The numerical simulations [31] (see Appendix and SM [18]) can accurately calculate $\alpha$ and $\langle k_2^2 \rangle$. This leaves only one fit parameter, $\gamma$, the bulk Dresselhaus coefficient, which can now be extracted from fits to the density dependence of the symmetry point, see solid blue line in Fig. 3(a), giving excellent agreement with the data (blue markers). This procedure can be repeated for a set of wafers with varying quantum well width and thus varying $\beta_1$. This shifts the symmetry point $\alpha = \beta$, producing nearly parallel lines, as indicated with colors in Fig. 3(a) corresponding to the various wafers as labeled. As shown, locking $\alpha = \beta$ over a broad range is achieved in all wafers. Since gate voltages can be tuned continuously, any and all points on the symmetry lines $\alpha = \beta$ can be reached. Again performing fits over the density dependence of the symmetry point for each well width, we obtain very good agreement, see Fig. 3(a), and extract $\gamma = 11.6 \pm 1$ eV Å$^3$ consistently for all wells [Fig. 3(c)]. We emphasize that $\gamma$ is notoriously difficult to calculate and measure [28,29,32]; the value we report here agrees well with recent studies [13,32,33]. Obtaining consistent values over wide ranges of densities and several wafers with varying well widths provides a robust method to extract $\gamma$.

Beyond $\gamma$, the simulations reveal important information about the gate tuning of the SO parameters. The Rashba...
coefficient is modeled as $\alpha = \alpha_{g+d} + \alpha_w + \alpha_e$ in the simulation, with gate and doping term $\alpha_{g+d}$, quantum well structure term $\alpha_w$, and Hartree term $\alpha_e$. Along a contour of constant density, the simulations show that mainly $\alpha_{g+d}$ and $\alpha_w$ are modified, while $\alpha_e$ and $\beta$ remain constant; see Fig. 2(c). The density dependence for locked $\alpha = \beta$, on the other hand, shows that while $\beta_1$ is nearly constant, $\beta_3$ is linearly increasing with $n$, thus reducing $\beta = \beta_1 - \beta_3$; see Fig. 3(b). Hence, to keep $\alpha = \beta$ locked, $\alpha$ has to be reduced correspondingly. The Hartree term $\alpha_e$, however, increases for growing $n$. Thus, on the $\alpha = \beta$ line, the other $\alpha$ terms—mainly the gate-dependent $\alpha_{g+d}$—are strongly reduced, maintaining locked $\alpha = \beta$, as shown in Fig. 3(b). We emphasize that neglecting the density dependence of $\beta_3$ and fixing $\alpha = \beta_1 + const$ results in a line with slope indicated by the blue dashed line in Fig. 3(a), which is clearly inconsistent with the data. Thus, the density-dependent $\beta_3$ enabling gate tunability of the Dresselhaus term is crucial here.

Dresselhaus regime.—We now show that $\alpha$ can be tuned through $\beta$ and through zero in a more symmetrically doped wafer, opening the Dresselhaus regime $\beta \gg \alpha$. We introduce the magnetic field $B_{SO}$, where the magnetococonductance exhibits minima at $B_{21} \approx -B_{22}$. These minima describe the crossover between WAL and WL, where the Aharonov-Bohm dephasing length and the SO diffusion length are comparable. Beyond the WAL-WL transition [Fig. 4(b), upper panel], $B_{SO}$ is seen to peak and decrease again (dashed curve). The gate voltages with maximal $B_{SO}$ are added to Fig. 4(a) for several densities (red markers). We surmise that these points mark $\alpha \approx 0$: $B_{SO}$ signifies the crossover from WL to WAL-like conductance, thus defining an empirical measure for the effects of SO coupling (larger $B_{SO}$, stronger effects). For $\alpha = 0$, the full effect of $\beta$ on the conductance becomes apparent without cancellation from $\alpha$, giving a maximal $B_{SO}$. Indeed, the simulated $\alpha = 0$ curve [dashed red line in Fig. 4(a)] cuts through the experimental points, also reflected in Fig. 4(c) by a good match with the simulated $\alpha = 0$ crossing point (red arrow).

Diverging spin-orbit lengths.—For a comparison of experiment and simulation, we convert the empirical $B_{SO}$ to a “magnetic length” $\lambda_{SO} = \sqrt{\hbar/2eB_{SO}}$, which we later on interpret as a spin-diffusion length, where $e > 0$ is the electron charge and the factor of 2 accounts for time-reversed pairs of closed trajectories. We also introduce the ballistic SO lengths $\lambda_{\pm} = \hbar^2/(2m^*|\alpha \pm \beta|)$. These lengths correspond to a spin rotation of 1 rad, as the electrons travel along $\hat{x}_\pm$ and $\hat{x}_-\pm$, respectively, with spins initially aligned perpendicular to the corresponding SO field [e.g., for an electron moving along the $\hat{x}_+$, its spin should point along $\hat{x}_+$ or $\hat{z}$ so spin precession can occur; see SM, Eq. (S20), for an expression of the SO field [18]]. For $\alpha = +\beta$, $\lambda_-$ diverges (no precession, indicating that an electron traveling along $\hat{x}_-$ does not precess) while $\lambda_+$ is finite, and vice versa for $\alpha = -\beta$. An in-plane rotation of the PSH by a fixed angle $\pi/2$ from $\alpha = +\beta$ to $\alpha = -\beta$ was recently demonstrated [34].

Figure 5 shows the theoretical spin diffusion length $\lambda_{eff}$ (see Appendix) and the ballistic $\lambda_{\pm}$, together with the experimental $\lambda_{SO}$, all agreeing remarkably well. Since at $\alpha = \beta$ spin transport is ballistic despite charge diffusion, $\lambda_-$ and its diffusive counterpart $\lambda_{eff}$ (small $\beta_3$) are essentially equivalent, as shown in SM [18]. The enhanced $\lambda_{SO}$ around $\alpha/\beta = 1$ corresponds to an increased spin relaxation time $\tau_{SO} = \lambda_{SO}^2/(2D)$. Note that max($\lambda_+, \lambda_-)$ quantifies the deviation from the uniaxial SO field away from $\alpha = \beta$, and thus the extent to which spin rotations are not undone in a closed trajectory due to the non-Abelian nature of spin rotations around noncollinear axes. This leads to WAL, a
constant. Over the whole locked regime of Fig. 3(b), WAL is absent, and α at large densities: WAL is present in all traces and through causes spin relaxation even at spin-relaxation time magnitude compared to length L.

Inset: Experimental spin setting the visibility of SO effects on the conductance and thus the experimental of Fig. 5 for two densities, finding good agreement with the experimental

The coherence length L eff (main panel) does not. We include the cubic term in the operated and carried out the simulations and theoretical work. F. D. processed the samples and with carried out the molecular beam epitaxy growth of the manuscript. S. M. and D. D. A. designed, simulated, and analyzed the data. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript. S. M. and D. D. A. designed, simulated, and carried out the molecular beam epitaxy growth of the heterostructures. F. D. processed the samples and with P. J. W. performed the experiments. J. F. and J. C. E. developed and carried out the simulations and theoretical work.

Final remarks and outlook.—This work is laying the foundation for a new generation of experiments benefiting from unprecedented command over SO coupling in semiconductor nanostructures such as quantum wires, quantum dots, and electron spin qubits. Moreover, our work relaxes the stringency (i.e., the “fine-tuning”) of the α = β symmetry condition at a particular singular point (gate) by introducing a “continuous locking” of the SO couplings α(V T, V B) = β(V T, V B) over a wide range of voltages, which should enable new experiments exciting persistent spin helices with variable pitches in GaAs wells [12,13], i.e., stretchable PSHs. Further, this concept is also applicable to a range of other III-V semiconductors in various suitable configurations. Another possibility is the generation of a Skyrmion lattice (crossed spin helices) with variable lattice constants, as recently proposed in Ref. [35].

Finally, we stress that within the continuously locked regime of SO couplings we demonstrate in our study, SO-coupled quantum transport in our samples shows a very distinctive feature: it is diffusive (2D) for charge while ballistic (1D) for spins, thus providing a unique setting for coherent spin control. This ultimately adds a new functionality to the nonballistic spin transistor of Ref. [10]; i.e., it can now be made to operate as the ideal (ballistic) Datta-Das spin transistor—but in a realistic 2D diffusive system, with yet controlled spin rotations protected from spin decay.
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APPENDIX: MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. GaAs quantum well materials

The wells are grown on an n-doped substrate (for details see SM [18]) and fabricated into Hall bar structures [see inset of Fig. 2(a)] using standard photolithographic methods. The 2D gas is contacted by thermally annealed GeAu/Pt Ohmic contacts, optimized for a low contact resistance while maintaining high back gate tunability (low leakage currents) and avoiding short circuits to the back gate. On one segment of the Hall bar, a Ti/Au top gate with
dimensions of $300 \times 100 \, \mu m^2$ is deposited. The average gate-induced $E$-field change in the well is defined as
\[ \delta E_Z = 1/2(V_T/d_T - V_B/d_B), \]
with effective distance $d_T/B$ from the well to the top gate or back gate, respectively, extracted using a capacitor model, consistent with the full quantum description (see SM [18]). Contours of constant density follow $\delta V_T/d_T = -\delta V_B/d_B$. Deviations from linear behavior appear at most positive or negative gate voltages due to incipient gate leakage and hysteresis.

2. Low-temperature electronic measurements

The experiments are performed in a dilution refrigerator with base temperature 20 mK. We use a standard four-wire lock-in technique at 133 Hz and 100 nA current bias, chosen to avoid self-heating while maximizing the signal. The density is determined with Hall measurements in the chosen to avoid self-heating while maximizing the signal. The simulations calculate the Rashba coefficient $\alpha = \lambda_{SO}^2 = 2D\tau_{SO}$ as a characteristic “diffusion area” probed by our WL or WAL experiment, with $\tau_{SO}$ being the spin-dephasing time, and $\lambda_{SO}$ the spin-diffusion length. By taking $\Delta \varphi = 1$ (rad) at $B = B_{SO}$, we can extract the spin-diffusion length $\lambda_{SO}$ and spin-dephasing time $\tau_{SO}$ from the minima of the WAL curves from $\lambda_{SO} = \sqrt{\hbar/2eB_{SO}}$ and $\tau_{SO} = \hbar(4eDB_{SO})^{-1}$, respectively. The factor of 4 here stems from the two time-reversed paths and the diffusion length.

3. Numerical simulations

The simulations calculate the Rashba coefficient $\alpha$ and $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$ based on the bulk semiconductor band parameters, the well structure, the measured electron densities, and the measured gate lever arms. We solve the Schrödinger and Poisson equations self-consistently (“Hartree approximation”), obtain the self-consistent eigenfunctions, and then determine $\alpha$ via appropriate expectation values [31]. The Dresselhaus coefficient $\gamma$ is extracted from fits of the simulation to the experiment, which detects the absence of WAL at $\alpha = \beta = \gamma(k_z^2 - k_x^2/4)$. Thus, given $\alpha$ and $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$ from the simulation and the measured $n = k_z^2/(2\pi)$, we obtain $\gamma = 11.6 \pm 1 \, eV \, \angstrom^2$ consistently for all asymmetrically doped wells. Taking into account the uncertainties of the band parameters, the experimental errors, and a negligible uncertainty on $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$, an overall uncertainty of about 9%–10% or about $\pm 1 \, eV \, \angstrom^2$ on $\gamma$ results. About 1%–2% error originates from the experimental uncertainty of determining $\alpha = \beta$. The doping distribution (above or below well) is not expected to influence $\gamma$, and hence we use the same $\gamma$ for the more symmetrically doped wafer. Fits to the $\alpha = \beta$ experimental points then determine how much charge effectively comes from upper rather than lower doping layers, fixing the last unknown parameter also for the more symmetrically doped well (see SM [18]).

4. Spin-dephasing times and lengths

In WL or WAL measurements, additional spin dephasing is introduced by the external magnetic field $B$ via the Aharonov-Bohm phase arising from the magnetic flux enclosed by the time-reversed trajectories: $\Delta \varphi = 2eAB/h$, where $A$ is the loop area. Here, we take $A = \lambda_{SO}^2 = 2D\tau_{SO}$ as a characteristic "diffusion area" probed by our WL or WAL experiment, with $\tau_{SO}$ being the spin-dephasing time, and $\lambda_{SO}$ the spin-diffusion length. By taking $\Delta \varphi = 1$ (rad) at $B = B_{SO}$, we can extract the spin-diffusion length $\lambda_{SO}$ and spin-dephasing time $\tau_{SO}$ from the minima of the WAL curves from $\lambda_{SO} = \sqrt{\hbar/2eB_{SO}}$ and $\tau_{SO} = \hbar(4eDB_{SO})^{-1}$, respectively. The factor of 4 here stems from the two time-reversed paths and the diffusion length.

5. Effective SO times and lengths

Theoretically, we determine $\tau_{SO}$ via a spin random walk process [D’yakonov-Perel (DP)]. The initial electron spin in a loop can point (with equal probability) along the $s_x$, $s_z$, and $s_z$ axes (analogous to $x_+$, $x_-$, and $z$, respectively), which have unequal spin-dephasing times $\tau_{DP,x_+}$, $\tau_{DP,x_-}$, and $\tau_{DP,z}$. For unpolarized, independent spins, we take the average $\tau_{eff} = (\tau_{DP,x_+} + \tau_{DP,x_-} + \tau_{DP,z})/3$, which leads to an effective spin-diffusion length $\lambda_{eff} = \sqrt{2D\tau_{eff}}$. Actually, $\lambda_{eff}$ is defined from the average variance $\lambda_{eff}^2 = \overline{\sigma^2} = 2D\tau_{eff}$, obtained by averaging the spin-dependent variances $\sigma_{x_+}^2 = 2D\tau_{DP,x_+}$, $\sigma_{x_-}^2 = 2D\tau_{DP,x_-}$, and $\sigma_{z}^2 = 2D\tau_{DP,z}$. Over the spin directions $s_x$, $s_z$, and $s_z$ (this is equivalent to averaging over the $\tau$’s and not over $1/\tau$’s).

In the SM [18], we discuss the spin random walk and provide expressions for the DP times including corrections due to the cubic $\beta_3$ term. Figure 5 shows curves for the spin-dephasing times and lengths presented here. In the main panel, the cubic $\beta_3$ is neglected in $\lambda_{eff}$ since for $n \leq 7 \times 10^{11} \, cm^{-2}$, WL appears at $\alpha = \beta$ (small $\beta_3$). In contrast, the cubic term is included in $\tau_{eff}$ in the inset since at the higher density, $n = 9 \times 10^{11} \, cm^{-2}$, WL persists (sufficiently strong $\beta_3$).
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