# Spin digitizer for high-fidelity readout of a cavity-coupled silicon triple quantum dot

F. Borjans, X. Mi & J.R. Petta, PRA 15, 044052 (2021).

Uni Basel/IBM SPIN journal club May 10, 2021 Presentation by Rafael Eggli

## Motivation

- Improve readout of spin qubits in Si
- Typical problems:
  - Large footprint (dedicated charge sensor -> nearby dot)
- Dispersive Charge sensing:
  - Gate-based (smaller footprint)
  - Resonator on gate probes gate  ${\cal Z}$
  - Sensitivity limited
  - Constrained device tuning
  - Limited by tunnel rates
- → Single shot, "digized" readout over large gate-space region







J.M. Elzerman et al., Nature, **430**, 431-435 (2004) M. Veldhorst et al., Nature, **526**, 410-414 (2015) J.I. Colless et al., PRL, **110**, 046805 (2013)

# Previous achievements with cQED and QDs:

- Microwave resonator (Nb)
- On-chip cavity,  $\lambda/_2$
- Monitor transmitted Amplitude
- & Phase
- InAs nanowire and Si qubits
- Strong spin-photon coupling
- Resonant microwave-coupling of two remote spins (d > 4mm)



K.D. Petersson et al., Nature, 490, 380 (2012)
X. Mi et al., Nature, 555, 599 (2018)
F. Borjans et al., Nature, 577, 195-198 (2020)



'bright"

 $\kappa_b/2\pi$ 

'dark'

6.786 6.788 6.790 6.792 6.794

f (GHz)

 $\Delta A$ 

#### Reading out Dots 1 & 2

- Optimize dispersive signal to  $A/A_0 \approx 0.4$
- Dots 1 & 2 are capacitively coupled to QD 3
- Loading electron to QD 2 shifts QD 3 resonances
- Shift  $\Delta V = 2.1 \ mV > \sigma_V = 0.27 \ mV$
- $\rightarrow$  Digitally gates cavity transmission







## Two Readout Schemes

- «Conventional» dispersive charge sensing  $\rightarrow$  limited visibility
- «Adaptive» charge sensing by tuning sensor to dark state
- Better contrast and large-scale sensitivity



#### Sensor Performance



- Fixed  $V_{P3}$  at (0,0,d) transition, d: 0  $\leftrightarrow$  1
- Time traces at  $(0,0,d) \leftrightarrow (1,0,d)$  and  $(0,0,d) \leftrightarrow (0,1,0)$  edges
- Histograms yield power SNR:

$$SNR_{1(2)} = \left(\frac{\Delta A_{1(2)}}{\sigma_{1(2)}}\right)^2$$



# Pauli Spin Blockade Signatures

- PSB expected at (1,1,0)  $\leftrightarrow$  (2,0,d) edge, B = 0
- Train of MW pulses (0.5 mV; 400  $\mu s$ ) on P1 & P2
- Signal intensity: Time-averaged
- PSB increases time spent in bright state
- Plateau on transition: due to PSB







(1,1,0)

 $V_{P2}(mV)$ 

411

412

413

8

575-

410

# Single-Shot PSB Readout

- Directly probe the S-T transitions
- Measure after each pulse  $\rightarrow$  Amplitude histogram
- Spin-dependent "bright" → "dark" transition voltages



- Separation:
  - $\Delta V_{PSB} = 41 \mu eV$
- $\sim$  Expected valley splitting
- Dents: dispersive coupling to cavity





## Spin Relaxation



- Vary dwell time  $\tau$  after initialization to mixed state, ensemble of 10'000 shots
- Exponential decay fit yields:  $T_1^{(2,0,d)} = 1.17 ms; T_1^{(0,2,d)} = 0.88 ms$
- Visibility: 98.4 %
- Fidelity: 99.2 %





# Conclusion

- "Digital" charge state readout
- Theoretical minimal integration times of 1.8 5.6 ns
- High fidelity & visibility spin state readout
- Achieved through small sensor target distances & strong quantum dot – cavity coupling
- Improvements: Reduce cavity coupling to QD1 & 2
  - Higher powers: Expect visibility  $99.98~\%\,$  and fidelity  $99.99~\%\,$
  - Integration time:  $1 \ \mu s$  (now  $100 \ \mu s$ )
  - Quadruple dot to avoid need for reservoir near sensor

→ Very interesting approach, perhaps also for linear qubit arrays (FinFETs/GeSi-wires)

# Thank you for your attention!